SEMSA Desk Review Worksheet

eview Date://	Supervisor:	#:			
istrict:	CD Code: -	RPDC:			
istrict Enrollment:	Size of District Small	☐ Medium ☐ Large ☐ K-8	Child Count:		
istrict % in Compliance	Supervisor Recommendation Review Complete Additional Verification On-Site	Recommendation Review Additional Complete Verification	On-Site Profile Indicates Need Lottery		
Areas of Non-Compliance	Concern	☐ No Concern			
Child Find	Procedural Safeguards	SPED & Related Services	General Administration		
Personnel	LRE	☐ ESY	Other:		
Referral Process	Secondary Transition	Evaluation			
# Of Child Complaints Filed (02-	-03): Concern No Concern	# Of Due Process Hearing Reque	sts (02-03): Concern No Concern		
Areas Out o	of Compliance:	Issues:	Status:		
Other Areas of Consideration:					
State Board Operated Program	Co-Op:	High % of publicly placed stu	udents Charter Schools		
MSB MSD SSSH	Surrogate participation	Persistent non-compliance	Other:		
Speech Implementor Model	Special School District	Private Agencies			
1	☐ Child Find ☐ Personnel ☐ Referral Process # Of Child Complaints Filed (02	istrict:	istrict:		

4	Incidence Rate	1	Areas of concern within incidence rate:									
	District: $\frac{+/-}{2\%}$ State:	(14.97)	Mental Ret	37)	Hearing Impairment/Deafness (0.14)			s (0.14)	Multiple Disabilities (0.11)			
	Concern No Cor	ncern	Emotional l	Disturbance	(0.98)	Specific Learning Disabilities (7.13)			(7.13)	Autism (0.26)		
•	ECSE		Speech/Lan	irment (3.52)	ent (3.52) Other Health Impairment (1.15)			5)	TBI (0.04)			
	District: $\begin{vmatrix} +/- \\ 3\% \end{vmatrix}$ State	: (6.61)	Orthopedic Impairment (0.07			Deaf/Blindness (0.00)				YCDD (0.12)		
	Concern No Cor	ncern	Partial Sigh	t/Blindness	(0.06)							
5	Placement Categories		☐ Concern ☐ No Concern									
	•	e Regular 21-60%	Outside l Class >	_	Separate Private &			ebound / ospital	Reside Facility P Pub	rivate &		Operated chools
	D S: (56.74) D	S: (28.08)	D	S: (11.83)	D	S: (1.94)	D	S: (0.42)	1 1)	S: (0.14)	D	S: (0.85)
6	MAP		Concern	1			No Conc	ern				
	Percentage of Pi	roficient Re	eaders INC	REASE		Percer	itage of c	hildren wit	h disabilition m DECRE		ve MAI	P-CA read
	Grade 3 Yes No		Grade 7	Yes	No	Grade 3		Yes No			Yes	☐ No
	Percentage	of children	n with disal	bilities sco	oring at Pr	oficient a	nd Adva	nced achiev	ement level	l increase	es:	
	Communication Arts	Grade 3	Yes Yes	☐ No	Grac	le 7	Yes	☐ No	Grade 1	1	Yes	☐ No
	Science	Grade 3	Yes	No No			Yes	No No	Grade 1		Yes	☐ No
	Math	Grade 4	Yes	No No			Yes	No No	Grade 1		Yes	☐ No
	Social Studies	Grade 4	Yes	☐ No			Yes	☐ No	Grade 1		Yes	☐ No
	Percentage of											
	Communication Arts	Grade 3	Yes	No			<u>Yes</u>	□ No	Grade 1		Yes	No
	Science	Grade 3	Yes	□ No			Yes	□ No	Grade 1		Yes	No
	Math	Grade 4	Yes	☐ No			Yes	No	Grade 1		Yes	No
	Social Studies	Grade 4	Yes	☐ No	Grac	le 8	Yes	☐ No	Grade 1	1	Yes	☐ No

	Performance Data									
7	Yes No N/A	Eligibility determinations result in the percentage	of students with disabilitie	es served being comparable	to statewide data.					
8	Yes No No N/A	Eligibility Determinations result in the percentage of ECSE students with disabilities being comparable to the expected incidence ate of 5% for the district.								
9	Yes No No N/A	The percentage of children with disabilities served	he percentage of children with disabilities served at each point of the placement continuum is comparable to statewide data.							
10	Yes No No N/A	The percentage of ECSE children with disabilities data.	s served at each point of the	e placement continuum is c	comparable to statewide					
11	Yes No No N/A	The percentage of children with disabilities in eac statewide data.	ch disability category, serve	ed at each point of the cont	inuum, is comparable to					
12	Yes No No N/A	Participation in general state assessments are com	parable to statewide data.							
13	Yes No No N/A	Percentage participating in alternate assessments a grade level	Percentage participating in alternate assessments at each grade level is no greater than 1-2% of the student population at that grade level							
14	Yes No No N/A	Children with disabilities participating in district-wide assessments are comparable to the percentage of students participating in general statewide assessments.								
15	Yes No No N/A	The performance level of children who receive special education services prior to age 5 increase on the School Entry Assessment.								
16	Yes No No N/A	Dropout rates for children with disabilities decrease and are no higher than those of children without disabilities.								
17	Yes No No N/A	Suspension and expulsion rates for children with disabilities.	Suspension and expulsion rates for children with disabilities decrease and are no higher than those of children without disabilities.							
18	Yes No No N/A	The percentage of students with disabilities participating in vocational preparation program is consistent with the percentage of participation in the general population of students.								
19	Yes No No N/A	The percentage of students with disabilities graduating with a regular diploma has increased or been maintained at a high level over the past three years and is comparable to the graduation rate in the general population of students.								
20	Yes No No N/A	The percentage of students with disabilities employed or enrolled in continuing education six months after leaving school has increased and/or been maintained at a high level over the past three years.								
21	Yes No No N/A	The percentage of students with disabilities of any racial/ethnic group is greater than that group's percentage of the total enrollment to indicate disproportional representation.								
22										
23										
24										
25										

26. Student File Review:

Document B Student File Reviews	District Initial	Desk Review Initial	District Reevaluation	Desk Review Reevaluation
Referral Process Initial Evaluation/Eligibility 100100-102900	initiai	IIIIII	Recvariation	Recvaruation
Reevaluation/Continued Eligibility 103000-104200				
IEP 104300-108900				
Placement 109000-109600				

27.	District	Tally	Documents

Review the District Tally Documents:							
List the number of Out of Compliance indicators for each document:							
·							
Doc A	Doc B	Doc D	Doc E	Doc F	Doc G (1-16)		

28. **Comments**: Indicate the areas of concern your desk review revealed for this district.